AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
		District of		Delaware	
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
	_	V. Timothy Watson Cas	RD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL C Ro 7 - 41 - GMS	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
Part I—Findings of Fact					
	(1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 314 or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstant ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment of an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten year	e giv r dea	ing rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is	
	(3)	 a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicte § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the ☐ date of for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that it safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the Alternative Finding 	it was conv o con e defe	s on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.	
	(1)	 There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more i under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). 			
	(2)	(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm			
		Alternative Findings (B)			
		(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
	(2)	(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of an	other	person or the community.	
		Part II—Written Statement of F	easo	ons for Detention	

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence X a preponderance of the evidence: that there are no conditions or combination thereof that will reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required and the safety of the community. Defendant did not oppose detention at this time, but reserved the right to a hearing at a later date which was granted. In addition, defendant should be detained for the following reasons:

1. Defendant is unemployed since February 2007, having held that job for the past 5-6 months. He could not recall any other employment.

2. Defendant is an admitted heroin user since age 15 and cocaine since age 20. He has undergone drug treatment and claimed that he has stopped using. However, he was on state probation at the time of his arrest for the federal offense of possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, 18 USC §922 & 924. His state probation officer is planning to file VOP petition for matters unrelated to the federal offense, including failure to appear, failure to reside at reported residence and illegal drug use. He has pending in JP Court 20 a number of misdemeanor offenses. His prior convictions include: March 2004, possession of a narcotic schedule II controlled substance, March 2004 criminal impersonation, October 2002 assault 2nd & 3rd in a detention facility (halfway house) for which he was found VOP on 3 occasions between May 2003 and September 2004; possession of of a controlled substance schedule II in March 2001 and found VOP in Sept. 2001, Oct. 2001, May 2002 and October 2002; January 1999 escape after conviction and VOP; another VOP in March 1998 which resulted in 9 month!s partial confinement.



Part III-Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

April 2, 2007 Date

Signature of Judical Office

Mary Pat Thynge, Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).